

# THE TRAWLED TRUTH

**THE CASE FOR BANNING BOTTOM TRAWLING  
IN UK MARINE PROTECTED AREAS**

















# 7

## CONCLUSIONS AND CALL TO ACTION

**All UK governments should follow Belize, the Philippines, Canada, Peru, Sweden and many others in banning bottom trawling from their MPA networks and other wildlife hotspots.**

There is no single, globally used, definition for an MPA. Generally, it describes **areas of the ocean set aside for long-term conservation** where human activities are legally restricted or prohibited in order to protect a vulnerable species or habitat. Whatever the definition and designation, the world's leading conservation agency, the IUCN, states that industrial bottom trawling is not compatible with well-managed MPAs.

Despite this, we estimate that 90% of UK MPAs are currently subjected to bottom trawling activity, with effective whole-site bottom-trawl bans in just 38 of the UK's 377 MPAs, and over 31,000 hours of suspected bottom trawling in our offshore MPAs alone in 2024. **We have arguably the worst of all worlds: an illusion of protection masking ongoing destruction.** This means nearly all UK MPAs currently remain largely unprotected from bottom trawling activity. This veil of protection might be doing more harm than good, delivering worse outcomes for nature, while taking more time and cost to enforce.

**We have arguably the worst of all worlds: an illusion of protection masking ongoing destruction**

Banning bottom trawling in UK MPAs is a policy for the many, not the few. The UK can ban bottom trawling within MPAs using the management powers we have, not by designating a set of new sites. The UK's philosophy of treating these areas as static sites open to trawling unless proven otherwise, instead of starting from a whole-site approach, needs thorough re-examination. It is high time we use MPAs to prioritise the long-term recovery and resilience of nature over the short-term profits of industrial fisheries who never have to pay the cost of their damage.

Finally, conviction from the UK public is clear: 8 in 10 UK adults think bottom trawling should be banned in UK MPAs and 64% believe it is *already* banned. As countries around the world step up to ban destructive fishing from their MPAs and deliver against their '30x30' commitments, the UK risks being overtaken by other countries taking steps to properly protect their MPA networks from this industrial threat. This is an ocean credibility issue for all UK governments: there is no time to waste.



# ANNEX 1

## LIST OF MPAS WITH EFFECTIVE WHOLE-SITE PROTECTIONS TO BOTTOM TRAWLING

We consider a site to be effectively protected when all forms of bottom trawling and dredging are legally prohibited from the whole of the site. We estimate this to be the case for the following sites. We exclude three HPMAAs that do not yet have management measures in place. A number of further MPAs offer partial protections to some or all forms of bottom-towed fishing gear, in some cases up to 90% of the site, but are not included in these calculations.

Area Name	Size (KM <sup>2</sup> )	Designation
Runswick Bay	68.0	MCZ
Holderness Inshore	309.0	MCZ
Lizard Point	141.0	SAC
Dogger Bank	12331.0	SAC
Wight-Barfleur Reef	1373.4	SAC
East of Haig Fras	400.0	MCZ
Selsey Bill and the Hounds	16.0	MCZ
South Dorset	193.0	MCZ
Lundy	3.0	MCZ
Skomer	13.0	MCZ
Hatton Bank	15694.0	SAC
North West Rockall Bank	4365.0	SAC
East Rockall Bank	3695.0	SAC
Lyme Bay	206.0	MPA
Darwin Mounds	1380.0	SAC
East Mingulay	26.0	SAC
Loch Creran	12.0	SAC
Loch Laxford	12.0	SAC
Loch Sunart and Sound of Jura	741.0	NC MPA
Noss Head and Sinclair Bay	8.0	MPA
Sanday	110.0	SAC
St Kilda	3995.0	SPA/SAC
Treshnish Isles	25.0	SPA/SAC
Wyre and Rousay Sounds	16.0	NC MPA
Loch Carron	23.0	NC MPA
Lochs Duich, Long and Alsh	37.0	NC MPA
Lochs Duich, Long and Alsh Reefs	12.0	SAC
Red Rocks and Longay	12.0	NC MPA
Red Bay	9.7	SAC
Carlingford Lough	3.2	MCZ
Outer Belfast Lough	2.5	MCZ
Strangford Lough	164.8	MCZ
Murlough	119.0	SAC
The Maidens	74.7	SAC
Rathlin	90.6	SAC/SPA/MCZ
Waterfoot	0.8	MCZ
Skerries and Causeway	108.7	SAC
Strangford Lough	150.0	MCZ
<b>Total MPA area closed to trawling</b>	<b>45,940 km<sup>2</sup></b>	
<b>Total UK MPA area</b>	<b>338,729 km<sup>2</sup></b>	
<b>% of UK MPA area closed to trawling</b>	<b>13.56%</b>	

# ANNEX 2

## METHODOLOGY: USING GLOBAL FISHING WATCH DATA TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL BOTTOM TRAWLING ACTIVITY

The analysis used to calculate the number of hours of suspected bottom trawling in UK MPAs focused on the UK's 63 offshore benthic MPAs. These sites are located beyond 12 nautical miles from our coast, and are designated specifically for the importance of their seabed features. This analysis utilises Global Fishing Watch (GFW) data on fishing vessels that appeared to have fishing activity between January 1 and December 31 2024 within at least one of the UK offshore benthic MPAs.

For this analysis, Oceana's Illegal Fishing and Transparency team used data from GFW, an independent non-profit founded by Oceana in partnership with Google and SkyTruth. Oceana identified satellite tracks within MPAs that indicated industrial fishing (based on GFW algorithms, machine learning, and a random manual inspection of the data by the Oceana analyst team) and then narrowed the dataset down to vessels that were registered as carrying bottom-trawl or dredging gear as at least one of their gear types. This matching process is external to GFW, since the information from GFW does not currently distinguish between 'bottom' and 'midwater' trawlers. This process used the unique Maritime Mobile Service Identity (MMSI) and the matching Common Fleet Register (CFR) and International Maritime Organization (IMO) identifiers from the apparent fishing activity data and vessel information data pulled from GFW, and then used these CFR and IMO numbers to externally match these vessels to the appropriate registries (the European Fleet Registry and the UK Registry). Please note that the Norwegian Registry does not provide adequately specific gear codes to identify bottom-towed gear. Therefore, no Norwegian vessels are included in these total calculations.

GFW uses data about a vessel's identity, type, location, speed, direction and more that is broadcast using the Automatic Identification System (AIS) and collected via satellites and terrestrial receivers. GFW analyses AIS data collected from vessels that research has identified as known or possible commercial fishing vessels, and applies a fishing presence algorithm to determine "apparent fishing activity" based on changes in vessel speed and direction. The algorithm classifies each AIS broadcast point for these vessels as either apparently fishing or not fishing, and shows the former on the GFW fishing activity heat map. AIS data, as broadcast, may vary in completeness, accuracy and quality. Also, data collection by satellite or terrestrial receivers may introduce errors through missing or inaccurate data.

GFW's fishing presence algorithm is a best effort to mathematically identify "apparent fishing activity". As a result, it is possible that some fishing activity is not identified as such by GFW; conversely, GFW may show apparent fishing activity where fishing is not actually taking place. For these reasons, GFW qualifies designations of vessel fishing activity, including synonyms of the term "fishing activity", such as "fishing" or "fishing effort" as "apparent" rather than "certain". Any/all GFW information about "apparent fishing activity" should be considered an estimate and must be relied upon solely at your own risk. GFW is taking steps to make sure fishing activity designations are as accurate as possible. GFW fishing presence algorithms are developed and tested using actual fishing event data collected by observers, combined with expert analysis of vessel movement data resulting in the manual classification of thousands of known fishing events. GFW also collaborates extensively with academic researchers to share fishing activity classification data and automated classification techniques.

# REFERENCES

- 1 JNCC. UK Marine Protected Area Network Statistics. <https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/uk-marine-protected-area-network-statistics>. Accessed 03 April 2025
- 2 *ibid*
- 3 Scottish Government. (2024). Fisheries Management Measures within Scottish Offshore Marine Protected Areas (MPAs), Consultation. Available at: <https://consult.gov.scot/marine-scotland/fisheries-management-measures-within-offshore-mpas/>
- 4 See Annex 2 for methodology and caveats in relation to the use of Global Fishing Watch data
- 5 **Written questions and answers - Written questions, answers and statements - UK Parliament.** Accessed 07 April 2025
- 6 For example as summarised in Bearzi, G., Bonizzoni, S., Reeves, R.R. (2024). The trawl supremacy: Hegemony of destructive bottom-trawl fisheries and some of the management solutions. *OceanCare*, Wädenswil, Switzerland. 134 pp. <http://www.oceancare.org/trawlsupremacy>
- 7 Thurstan, R., Brockington, S., Roberts, C. M., (2010). The effects of 118 years of industrial fishing on UK bottom-trawl fisheries. *Nature Communications* 1,15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1013>
- 8 OSPAR. (2023). Benthic Habitats Thematic Assessment. In: OSPAR, (2023): Quality Status Report 2023. OSPAR Commission, London. Available at: <https://oap.ospar.org/en/ospar-assessments/quality-status-reports/qsr-2023/thematic-assessments/benthic-habitats/>
- 9 <https://www.theoep.org.uk/news/oep-launches-investigation-suspected-failure-defra-take-necessary-measures-achieve-good>. Accessed 07 April 2025.
- 10 Korpinen, S., Klančnik, K., Peterlin, M., Nurmi, M., Laamanen, L., Zupancic, G., Murray, C., Therese Harvey, E., Andersen, J., Zenetos, A., Stein, U., Tunesi, L., Abhold, K., Piet, G.J., Kallenbach, E., Agnesi, S., Bolman, B., Vaughan, D., Reker, J., Gelabert, E. (2020). Multiple Pressures And Their Combined Effects In Europe's Seas. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17535.11689>.
- 11 Sciberras, M., Hiddink, J.G., Jennings, S., Szostek, C.L., Hughes, K.M., Kneafsey, B., Clarke, L. J., Ellis, N., Rijnsdorp, A. D., McConnaughey, R. A., Hilborn, R., Collie, J. S., Pitcher, C.R., Amoroso, R.O. Parma, A. M., Suuronen, P., Kaiser, M. J. (2018). Response of benthic fauna to experimental bottom fishing: a global meta-analysis. *Fish and Fisheries*. Volume 19, Issue 4, P.698-715. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12283>
- 12 Oberle, F. K., Storlazzi, C. D., Hanebuth, T. J. (2016). What a drag: quantifying the global impact of chronic bottom trawling on continental shelf sediment. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 159, pp 109-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.12.007>
- 13 Daly, E., White, M. (2021). Bottom trawling noise: Are fishing vessels polluting to deeper acoustic habitats? *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 162:111877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111877>
- 14 Nemecky, S. (2022). The untrawled truth: Why EU fisheries (control) policy should strengthen discard monitoring, control and reporting within an implemented landing obligation. 1–19, WWF Germany, Berlin.
- 15 Lewison, R.L., Crowder, L.B., Wallace, B.P., Moore, J.E., Cox, T., Zydels, R., McDonald, S., DiMatteo, A., Dunn, D. C., Kot, C. Y., Bjorkland, R., Kelez, S., Soykan, C., Stewart, K. R., Sims, M., Boustany, A., Read, A. J., Halpin, P., Nichols, W. J., Safina, C. (2014). Global patterns of marine mammal, seabird and turtle by-catch reveal taxa-specific and cumulative mega-fauna hotspots. *PNAS*. <https://www.pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1318960111>
- 16 Davies B. F. R., Holmes, L., Rees, A., Attrill, M. J., Cartwright, A. Y., Sheehan, E. V. (2021). Ecosystems approach to fisheries management works – how switching from mobile to static fishing gear improves populations of fished and non-fished species in MPA. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. <https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1365-2664.13986>
- 17 *ibid*
- 18 Epstein, G., Middelburg, J.J., Hawkins, J. P., Norris, C. R., Roberts, C. M. (2022). The impact of mobile demersal fishing on carbon storage in seabed sediments. *Global Change Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16105>
- 19 Macreadie, P. I., Baird, M. E., Trevathan-Tackett, S. M., Larkum, A.W.D., Ralph, P.J. (2014). Quantifying and modelling the carbon sequestration of seagrass meadows – a critical assessment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, Volume 83, Issue 2, pp 430-439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.038>
- 20 Green, A.E., Unsworth, R.K.F., Chadwick, M.A., Jones, P.J.S. (2021). Historical Analysis Exposes Catastrophic Seagrass Loss for the United Kingdom. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 12:629962. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.629962>
- 21 Jones, B., Cullen-Unsworth, L. & Unsworth, R. (2018) Tracking Nitrogen Source Using 15N Reveals Human and Agricultural Drivers of Seagrass Degradation across the British Isles. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00133>
- 22 Burrows, M.T., O'Dell, A., Tillin, H., Grundy, S., Sugden, H., Moore, P., Fitzsimmons, C., Austin, W., Smeaton, C. (2024). The United Kingdom's Blue Carbon Inventory: Assessment of Marine Carbon Storage and Sequestration Potential in UK Seas. A Report to The Wildlife Trusts, WWF and the RSPB. Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban. <https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/sites/default/files/2024-09/UK%20assessment%20-%20scientific%20report.pdf>
- 23 Paradis, S., Goñi, M., Masqué, P., Durán, R., Arjona-Camas, M., Palanques, A., Puig, P. (2020). Persistence of biogeochemical alterations of deep-sea sediments by bottom trawling. *AGU, Volume 48, Issue 2*. <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2020GL091279>
- 24 Sala, E., Mayorga, J., Bradley, D. *et al.* (2021). Protecting the global ocean for biodiversity, food and climate. *Nature* 592, pp 397-402. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03371-z>
- 25 Clark, M., Tilman, D. (2017). Comparative analysis of environmental impacts of agricultural production systems, agricultural input efficiency, and food choice. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12, 064016. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa6cd5>
- 26 Olsgard, F., Schaanning, M. T., Widdicombe, S., Kendall, M. A., Austen, M. C. (2008). Effects of bottom trawling on ecosystem functioning. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. Volume 366, Issues 1-2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.036>
- 27 Jackson, E. L., Davies, A. J., Howell, K. L., Kershaw, P. J., and Hall-Spencer, J. M. (2014). Future-proofing marine protected area networks for cold water coral reefs. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu099>
- 28 Rohr, M. E., Holmer, M., Baum J. K., *et al.* (2018). Blue carbon storage capacity of temperate eelgrass meadows. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, Volume 32, Issue 10, pp 1457-1475. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005941>
- 29 Ondiviela, B., Losada, I. J., Lara, J. L., Maza, M., Galvan, C., Bouma, T. J., Van Belzen, J. (2013). The role of seagrasses in coastal protection in a changing climate. *Coastal Engineering*, 87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.11.005>
- 30 Lopez-Rivaz, J. D., Cardenas, J.C. (2024). What is the economic value of coastal and marine ecosystem service - a systematic literature review. *Marine Policy*, Vol. 161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2024.106033>
- 31 Davies, W., Kiberd, E., Williams, C. (2021). Valuing the impact of a potential ban on bottom-contact fishing in EU MPAs. *New Economic Foundation*. <https://www.actu-environnement.com/media/pdf/news-37588-etude-peche-new-economics-foundation.pdf>
- 32 Marine Conservation Society. (2023). A socio-economic analysis of a bottom-contact fishing ban in the UK. Valuing the impact on ecosystem services within the UK's offshore benthic MPA network. Available at: [https://s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/media.mcsuk.org/documents/Summary\\_report\\_-\\_Valuing\\_the\\_improvement\\_of\\_ecosystem\\_services.pdf](https://s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/media.mcsuk.org/documents/Summary_report_-_Valuing_the_improvement_of_ecosystem_services.pdf)
- 33 Millage, K.D., Mayorga, J., Orofino, S., Atwood, T.B., Friedlander, A.M., Teh, L.S.L., Palomares, M.L.D., Sumaila, U.R., Sala, E. (2025). The value of bottom trawling in Europe. *Research Squared* (note: this is a preprint; it has not been peer reviewed by a journal.) <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6298588/v1>
- 34 Copenhagen Economics. (2018). The value to society of the fish in Oresund. [https://balticsea2020.org/english/images/Bilagor/the\\_value\\_of\\_the\\_fish\\_in\\_oresund.pdf](https://balticsea2020.org/english/images/Bilagor/the_value_of_the_fish_in_oresund.pdf)
- 35 Stewart, B. D., Howarth, L.M., Wood, H., Whiteside, K., Carney, W., Crimmins, E., O'Leary, B.C., Hawkins, J.P, Roberts, C. M. (2020). Marine Conservation Begins at Home: How a Local Community and Protection of a Small Bay Sent Waves of Change Around the UK and Beyond. *Frontiers of Marine Science* 7:76. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00076>
- 36 Lenihan, H.S., Gallagher, J.P, Peters, J. R., Stier, A.C., Hoffmeister, J. K. K, Reed, D.C. (2021). Evidence that spillover from Marine Protected Areas benefits the spiny lobster fishery in southern California. *Sci Rep* 11, 2663. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82371-5>
- 37 Di Lorenzo, M., Claudet, J., Guidetti, P. (2016.) Spillover from marine protected areas to adjacent fisheries has an ecological and a fishery component. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, Vol. 32, pp 62-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2016.04.004>
- 38 *ibid*
- 39 Van Hoey, G., Feary, D.A., Brown, E.J., Buysse, J., Mangi, S.C., Vallina, T., van Kooten, T., Abreu, S., Aranda, M., Bergstrom, U., Castro, N., Kleiven, P.J.N., Mosnier, P, Peat, W., Rakowski, M., Robert, M., Stacy, R., Uriarte, A., Whitley, C., Wakeford, R.C., Quirijns, F.J. (2024). Assessing spillover from marine protected areas to adjacent fisheries. *Baltic and North Seas, Atlantic EU Western Waters and Outermost Regions, Final Report*, Publication Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2926/35237>
- 40 Vaughan, D., Skerritt, D. J., Duckworth, J., Rashid Sumaila, U., Duffy, M. (2023). Revisiting fuel tax concessions – the economic implications of fuel subsidies for the commercial fishing fleet of the UK. *Marine Policy*, 155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2023.105763>
- 41 Sala, E., Mayorga, J., Costello, C., Kroodsmas, D., Palomares, M. L. D., Pauly, D., Sumaila, U. R., Zeller, D. (2018). The economics of fishing the high seas. *Science Advances*, Vol. 4. No.6. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat2504>
- 42 See reference 3
- 43 Thurstan, R.H. and Roberts, C.M. (2010). Ecological Meltdown in the Firth of Clyde, Scotland: Two Centuries of Change in a Coastal Marine Ecosystem. *PLOS ONE* 5(7): e11767. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011767>
- 44 Rees, S.E., Ashley, M., Evans, L., Mangi, S., Rodwell, L., Attrill, M., Langmead, O., Sheehan, E., Rees, A. (2016). An evaluation framework to determine the impact of the Lyme Bay Fisheries and Conservation Reserve and the activities of the Lyme Bay Consultative Committee on ecosystem services and human wellbeing. (2016). Report to Blue Marine Foundation by research staff the Marine Institute at Plymouth University, Exeter University and Cefas. <https://www.lymebayreserve.co.uk/science/Evaluation-framework.pdf>
- 45 Greenwood, M. (2019). Fish, Fishing and Brexit. Centre for Food Policy. <https://foodresearch.org.uk/publications/fish/>.
- 46 Revealed: The millionaires hoarding UK fishing rights. Investigation by Unearthed/Greenpeace, 2018. Available at: <https://unearthed.greenpeace.org/2018/10/11/fishing-quota-uk-defra-michael-gove/>
- 47 YouGov survey for Oceana, 23rd - 24th May 2024., Survey of n=2111 UK adults. Available at: [https://d3nkl3psvxpe9.cloudfront.net/documents/Oceana\\_MarineWildlife\\_240524\\_w1.pdf](https://d3nkl3psvxpe9.cloudfront.net/documents/Oceana_MarineWildlife_240524_w1.pdf)
- 48 <https://action.wemove.eu/sign/2023-05-end-bottom-trawling-petition-EN>. Accessed 04 April 2025
- 49 IUCN. (2020). Guidance to identify industrial fishing incompatible with protected areas. Resolution 055, [https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/resrecfiles/WCC\\_2020\\_RES\\_055\\_EN.pdf](https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/resrecfiles/WCC_2020_RES_055_EN.pdf)
- 50 Lieberknecht, L. M. and Jones, P. J. S. (2016). From stormy seas to the doldrums: The challenges of navigating towards an ecologically coherent marine protected area network through England's Marine Conservation Zone process. *Marine Policy*, Vol. 71, pp 275-284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.05.023>
- 51 Sheehan, E. V., Renn, C., Rees, S., Rees, A., Davies, B.F.R., Cartwright, A.Y., Fanshawe, S., Attrill, M. J., Holmes, L.A. (2024). Lessons from Lyme Bay to inform policy, management and monitoring of marine protected areas. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, Vol. 81, Issue 2, pp 790-805. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad204>
- 52 Davies B.F.R., Holmes, L., Attrill, M.J., Sheehan, E.V. (2022). (2022) Ecosystem benefits of adopting a whole-site approach to MPA management. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, Vol. 29, Issue 6, P.790-P.805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12581>
- 53 Giakoumi, S., Scianna, C., Plass-Johnson, J., *et al.* (2017). Ecological effects of full and partial protection in the crowded Mediterranean Sea: A regional meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 8940. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08850-w>.
- 54 Sheehan, E. V, Stevens, T.F, Gall, S.C, Cousens, S.L, Attrill, M. J. (2013). Recovery of temperate reef assemblage in an MPA following the exclusion of towed demersal fish. *Plos one* 8(12): e83883. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083883>
- 55 Department for Food, Environment and Rural Affairs. (2021). Dogger Bank Special Area of Conservation (SAC) MMO Fisheries Assessment. [https://consult.defra.gov.uk/mmo/formal-consultation-mmo-mpa-assessments/supporting\\_documents/Dogger%20Bank%20SAC%20MMO%20Assessment%202021.pdf](https://consult.defra.gov.uk/mmo/formal-consultation-mmo-mpa-assessments/supporting_documents/Dogger%20Bank%20SAC%20MMO%20Assessment%202021.pdf)
- 56 See reference 47
- 57 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2024). Marine Protected Areas Network Report 2019-2024. Report to Parliament. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/67601b1fb745d5f7a053ef97/Marine\\_Protected\\_Areas\\_MPA\\_Network\\_Report\\_2019-2024\\_Web\\_Accessible\\_002\\_pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/67601b1fb745d5f7a053ef97/Marine_Protected_Areas_MPA_Network_Report_2019-2024_Web_Accessible_002_pdf)
- 58 Horta e Costa, B., de Benito-Abelló, C., Pike, E., *et al.* (2025). Marine protected areas stage of establishment and level of protection are good predictors of their conservation outcomes, *Cell Reports Sustainability*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crsus.2025.100345>
- 59 Dureuil, M., Boerder, K., Burnett, K. A., Froese, R., & Worm, B. (2018). Elevated trawling inside protected areas undermines conservation outcomes in a global fishing hot spot. *Science*, 362(6421), 1403–1407. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau056>
- 60 Turnbull, J.W, Johnston E.L, Clark, G. F., (2021), Evaluating the social and ecological effectiveness of partially protected marine areas. *Conservation Biology*, Vol. 35, pp 931-932. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13677>
- 61 Canty, S. W. J., Nowakowski, A. J., Cox, C. E., Valdivia, A., Holstein, D. M., Limer, B., Lefcheck, J. S., Craig, N., Drysdale, I., Giro, A., Soto, M., & McField, M. (2024). Interplay of management and environmental drivers shifts size structure of reef fish communities. *Global Change Biology*, Vol. 30, Issue 4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17257>
- 62 Turnbull, J.W, Johnston, E.J, Clark, G.F. (2021). Evaluating the social and ecological effectiveness of partially protected marine areas. *Conservation Biology*, Vol. 35, pp 931-932. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13677>
- 63 Scottish Government. (2024). Fisheries Management Measures within Scottish Offshore MPAs – Strategic Environmental Assessment Environmental Report. <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fisheries-management-measures-within-scottish-offshore-marine-protected-areas-mpas-strategic-environmental-assessment-environmental-report>
- 64 ABPmer. (2017). Displacement of Fishing Effort from Marine Protected Areas, ABPmer Report No. R.2790. Report for Natural England. Available at: <https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5674265573064704>
- 65 Oceana Press Release. (2010). <https://belize.oceana.org/press-releases/belize-bans-bottom-trawling-exclusive-economic-zone-0/>. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 66 Oceana Press Release. (2018). <https://oceana.org/victories/philippines-protects-266000-square-kilometers-ocean-bottom/>. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 67 Fisheries and Oceans Canada. (2023). Protection standard for Canada's marine protected areas. <https://www.canada.ca/en/fisheries-oceans/news/2023/02/protection-standard-for-canadas-marine-protected-areas.html#>. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 68 Oceana Press Release. (2023). <https://oceana.org/press-releases/peru-passes-important-ocean-protection-law-to-protect-the-first-five-nautical-miles-at-sea/>. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 69 First in Europe: Greece Bans Bottom Trawling – “Single Greatest Threat to Marine Habitats” – in All Marine Protected Areas by 2030. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 70 Government of Sweden. 2025. The government takes the first step towards banning bottom trawling in marine protected areas - Regeringen.se. Accessed 04 April 2025
- 71 Davies B. F. R., Holmes, L., Rees, A., Attrill, M. J., Cartwright, A. Y., Sheehan, E.V. (2021). Ecosystems approach to fisheries management works – how switching from mobile to static fishing gear improves populations of fished and non-fished species in MPA. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13986>
- 72 Davies, B. F. R., Holmes, L., Bicknell, A., Attrill, M. J., Sheehan, E.V. (2021). A decade implementing ecosystem approach to fisheries management improves diversity of taxa and traits within a MPA in the UK. *Wiley Diversity and Distributions*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13451>
- 73 See reference 51
- 74 Rees, S. E., Ashley, M., Evans, L., Mangi, S., Sheehan, E.V., Mullier, T., Rees, A., Attrill, M.J. (2021). An evaluation of the social and economic impact of a marine protected area on commercial fisheries. *Fisheries Research*, Vol. 235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105819>
- 75 See reference 44
- 76 Aburto-Oropeza, O., Erisman, B., Galland, G. R., Mascareñas-Osorio, I., Sala, E., & Ezcurra, E. (2011). Large recovery of fish biomass in a no-take marine reserve. *Plos one*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023601>
- 77 Sussex Bay Kelp and Sediment Research. International Sea Keepers Society. <https://www.seakeepers.org/program-opportunities/sussex-bay-kelp-and-sediment-research>. Accessed 07 April 2025
- 78 Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority Marine And Coastal Access Act 2009 (c.23) Nearshore Trawling Byelaw 2019. Available at <https://secure.toolkitfiles.co.uk/clients/34087/sitedata/files/Byelaws/Nearshore-Trawling-Byelaw.pdf>
- 79 Wright, M. Positive News. (2023). <https://www.positive.news/environment/the-lost-underwater-rainforest-that-came-back-from-the-dead/>. Accessed 07 April 2025



Citation: Oceana UK (2025) The Trawled Truth:  
The case for banning bottom trawling in marine protected areas.

DOI NUMBER: [10.5281/zenodo.15364369](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15364369)